

Docket No.: 202462US-2 Allowed Date: 09/24/04

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

RE: Application Serial No.: 09/769,510

Applicants: Tomohiro NAKAJIMA, et al.

Filing Date: January 26, 2001

For: OPTICAL SCAN MODULE, OPTICAL SCANNER,

OPTICAL SCAN METHOD, IMAGE GENERATOR

AND IMAGE READER

Group Art Unit: 2876 Examiner: Paik, S.S.

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Our check in the amount of -0 - is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND

MATER & NEUSTADA P.O

Gregory J. Maier

Registration No. 25,599

Attorney of Record

Raymond F. Cardillo, Jr. Registration No. 40,440

Customer Number

22850 (703) 413-3000 (phone) (703) 413-2220 (fax)

1940 Duke Street ■ Alexandria, Virginia 22314 ■ U.S.A. Telephone: 703-413-3000 ■ Facsimile: 703-413-2220 ■ www.oblon.com

OBLON
SPIVAK
MCCLELLAND
MAIER

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

P.C.

GREGORY J. MAIER (703) 413-3000 GMAIER@OBLON.COM

RAYMOND F. CARDILLO, JR. (703) 413-3000 RCARDILLO@OBLON.COM

DOCKET NO: 202462US-2

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF

TOMOHIRO NAKAJIMA ET AL.

: EXAMINER: PAIK, S. S.

SERIAL NO: 09/769,510

FILED: JANUARY 26, 2001

: GROUP ART UNIT: 2876

FOR: OPTICAL SCAN MODULE, OPTICAL SCANNER, OPTICAL SCAN METHOD, IMAGE GENERATOR AND

IMAGE READER

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

The "examiner's statement of reasons for allowance," included under the heading "Allowable Subject Matter," on page 2 attached to the "Notice of Allowability" (PTOL-37) that is in turn attached to the "Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due" mailed September 24, 2004, is mistaken as to the subject matter of all the allowed claims.

In this regard, the statement asserts that the claims are all being interpreted to recite "a holder provided with electrodes for electrical wiring to a light emission source and a deflection unit and configured for holding a moveable portion of the deflection unit, and a sealing substrate provided together with the holder in a piled relationship, and the light emission source and the movable portion of the deflection unit are enveloped to be tight-closed between the holder and the sealing structure."

Applicants note that while the subject matter recited by independent Claims 5, 39, 73 and 76 is at least similar to that noted in the above quoted examiner's statement, this subject matter is still different. Note, for example, that Claim 5 recites a "stacked relationship," not

the noted "piled relationship" of the statement and it further recites that this "stacked

relationship" is "configured to envelop and seal the light emission source and the movable

portion of the deflection unit therebetween," with no mention of anything being "tight-closed

between the holder and the sealing structure." Also note, for example, that Claims 39, 73,

and 76 all recite "the holder and the sealing substrate together being configured to envelop

and seal the light emission source and the movable portion of the deflection unit," with no

mention of anything being "tight-closed between the holder and the sealing structure" as in

the statement.

Independent Claims 1, 16, 26, 52, 65, 67, 69, 71, 72, 74, 75, and 77 all include

recitations of subject matter with far greater differences from the above noted subject matter

of the above-noted quoted examiner's statement. Note, for example, the "exterior facing

abutment portion configured to be brought into abutment with an exterior mounting member

outside of the optical scan module" language of independent Claims 1, 26, 72, and 75 and the

lack of any "holder" being recited by Claims 16, 52, 65, 67, 69, 71, 74, and 77.

Accordingly, the "examiner's statement of reasons for allowance," included under the

heading "Allowable Subject Matter," on page 2 attached to the "Notice of Allowability"

(PTOL-37) that is in turn attached to the "Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due" mailed

September 24, 2004, is clearly in error and should be withdrawn.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Gregory J. Maier

Registration No. 25,599

Attorney of Record

Raymond F. Cardillo, Jr.

Registration No. 40,440

Customer Number

22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220

(OSMMN 08/03)

2